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Abstract
Background/Aims: Immune responses are involved in arterial hypertension. An observational cross-sectional case control study was conducted to estimate the association between Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) expression and interleukin (IL)-17A serum levels in patients with controlled and non-controlled hypertension.

Methods: We have enrolled 105 non-complicated otherwise healthy hypertensive patients: 53 with well-controlled blood pressure and 52 non-controlled. TLR4 peripheral monocytes expression and serum IL-17A levels were determined by flow cytometry and ELISA, respectively.

Results: Non-controlled patients exhibited higher TLR4 expression than well-controlled (25.60 vs. 21.99, P=0.011). TLR4 expression was lower in well-controlled patients who were prescribed beta blockers (18.9 vs. 22.6, P=0.005) and IL-17A concentration was higher in patients using diuretics in either group (1.41 vs. 2.01 pg/ml, P<0.001; well-controlled 1.3 vs. 1.8 pg/ml, P=0.023; non-controlled 1.6 vs. 2.3 pg/ml, P=0.001). Correlation between IL-17A concentration and hypertension duration was observed in non-controlled patients (Spearman correlation coefficient ρ=0.566, P<0.001) whereas in well-controlled patients a correlation was found between hypertension duration and TLR4 expression (ρ=0.322, P=0.020).

Conclusions: Arterial hypertension stimulates the immune response regardless of blood pressure regulation status. Prolonged hypertension influences peripheral monocyte TLR4 expression and IL-17A serum levels. Anti-hypertensive drugs have different immunomodulatory effects: diuretics are associated with higher IL-17A concentration and beta-blockers with lower TLR4 expression.
Introduction

Essential hypertension is thought to originate from interaction between genetic and environmental factors. The immune system and its aberrant activation are thought to play a role in hypertension. It was also found that certain chronic inflammatory diseases such as psoriasis or rheumatoid arthritis are often associated with arterial hypertension [1, 2]. Although the first studies linking hypertension and immunity were conducted several decades ago [3, 4], it is only recently that attention has been directed to distinct components of innate and adaptive immune responses [5-8]. Toll like receptors (TLRs), one of most important pathogen recognition receptor of innate immunity can be also activated by tissue injury antigens (danger associated with molecular patterns; DAMPs) [9, 10]. Upon activation and the induction of NF-κB transcription factors, they lead to the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and activation of adaptive immunity [11-14]. It is assumed that DAMP-mediated TLR activation itself causes inflammation and hypertension [15]. Notably, to date approximately a dozen TLRs have been identified only in mammals, and the most extensive research linking arterial hypertension and TLRs has been performed on TLR4 [15-18]. TLR4 is also thought to play an important role in acute renal failure and diabetic nephropathy [19, 20]. However, these studies have all utilized animal models or cell culture; and only a few clinical studies have investigated the correlation between TLR and human hypertension. In particular, Marketou et al. have reported a significant increase in TLR4 gene expression in peripheral monocytes in non-diabetic patients with hypertension and a decrease in TLR2 and TLR4 gene expression following intensive blood-pressure therapy [21]. In addition, some of the genetic variants of TLR2 are thought to exert a protective role against arterial hypertension and acute myocardial infarction [22]. Further studies on the clinical relevance of TLRs and the role of innate immunity in human hypertension are required.

Unlike the innate immune response, the adaptive response is highly specific and is mediated by T and B lymphocytes. Recently, a newly identified class of T helper lymphocytes, Th17 lymphocytes, has attracted considerable attention. Although interleukin (IL)-17 can be produced by some other cell types, Th17 lymphocytes represent the primary source of this cytokine [23-26]. There are six types of IL-17 (A-F), but IL-17A is currently considered to be the most clinically relevant, being associated with various chronic diseases including cardiovascular ones [27-31]. One of the most important studies relating hypertension and Th17 response showed that angiotensin II infusion in an animal model increased the level of circulating Th17 lymphocytes as well as the production of IL-17A by Th17 cells and its accumulation in the circulation [24]. This study also demonstrated that IL-17A is required for the maintenance of angiotensin II-induced hypertension and further illustrated increased serum concentrations of IL-17A in patients with diabetes mellitus and hypertension compared to those with diabetes alone [24]. Subsequently, interleukin 17 was discovered to directly increase blood pressure by decreasing endothelial nitric oxide production [32]. In addition, IL-17A level have recently been reported to be higher in non-diabetic patients with hypertension and asymptomatic organ damage compared to those without such damage [33], as well as in a patient population exhibiting prehypertension [34]. It was speculated that IL 17 might have a protective role with respect to cardiovascular diseases [35-37].

The sympathetic overactivity can activate immune cells [38, 39]. On the other hand, the parasympathetic arm can suppress immune cells [38]. Recently, it has been demonstrated that spontaneously hypertensive rats exhibit an up-regulation of TLR4 within the paraventricular nucleus and that TLR4 inhibition therein attenuates blood pressure [40]. Several other studies have reported connections between the nervous system, inflammation, and hypertension [41-44].

Considering that scarcity of the studies investigating the correlation between human hypertension and immunity are available, our aim was to analyze expression of TLR4 and IL-17A in controlled and non-controlled patients with uncomplicated hypertension, and to determine whether they were influenced by antihypertensive drugs and duration of hypertension.
Patients and Methods

Study population

A total of 105 patients, age 20–60, with essential hypertension were included in the study. This study was conducted at Department of Nephrology, Clinical Hospital Center Osijek, Croatia, between May 2012 and November 2015. Patients were recruited from consecutive 105 essential hypertensive patients who met all of the criteria. All patients provided written informed consent, and the study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Clinical Hospital Center Osijek. Patients were divided into two groups, consisting of 53 patients with controlled arterial hypertension and 52 with non-controlled hypertension. Most of the patients in both groups were taking multiple antihypertensive therapy. Patients taking Henles loop diuretics or spironolactone were not included in the study, but only those taking thiazide diuretics. The exclusion criteria were: secondary hypertension diagnosed using standardized protocols; statin, non-steroid anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID), or any kind of immunosuppressive therapy; chronic kidney disease (eGFR -CKD-Epi < 60 ml/min/1.73 m2) or any other chronic inflammatory disease; diabetes mellitus, malignancy, pregnancy or lactating (if female), previous cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events, or any sign of acute infection or pathological urine finding. Complete medical history was obtained from the patients and they received a complete clinical examination as well as standard echocardiography and routine laboratory analysis. The data on the duration of hypertensive condition was obtained via patient report and medical records. Blood pressure was measured with an Omron M6 Comfort device on both hands three times according to the 2013 ESH/ESC guidelines and hypertension was defined as blood pressure ≥ 140/90 mmHg [45]. Blood samples used to determine IL-17A concentration were collected into a vacutainer without anticoagulant and were centrifuged at 3000 g for 10 min. The free flow cytometry used to determine the TLR4 expression was performed the same day and the samples used to determine IL-17A were preserved at −20°C until assayed.

Measurement of IL-17A concentration and TLR4 expression

Serum IL-17A concentrations were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) protocol (eBioscience, human IL-17, platinum ELISA, cat.no.2017) following manufacturer instruction. The room temperature during the ELISA analysis was 24°C. The results were obtained using diagnostic MRX, a Dynatech Laboratories instrument. The sample for free flow cytometry consisted of blood taken with an anticoagulant (EDTA). Monoclonal antibodies produced by eBioscience and BD Biosciences were used. The fluorescence intensity of the membrane markers was measured using FACSCalibur flow cytometer. The results were analyzed using the CELL Quest program. The graph showing the flow cytometry analysis (dot plot diagram) included a gate set up for monocyte populations. The analysis demonstrated that the involved monocytes, according to their positivity, were CD14+, in the range from 95% up to 100%. The results were expressed as a percentage (the part of monocytes CD14+ on TLR4), as well as by the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI). The instrument accuracy was tested using standard CALIBRITE 3 optic beads (BD Biosciences) and a negative isotype control was used.

Statistical analysis

The category data were presented in absolute and relative frequencies. The numerical data were described using median and interquartile ranges. The differences of category variables were tested with a χ² test and if necessary with a Fisher accuracy test. A Kolmogor-Smirnov test was used to evaluate the normality distribution of numeric variables. The differences in numerical variables between two independent groups were tested using a Mann Whitney U test. The correlation grading was expressed with the Spearman coefficient Rho (all P values were two-sided). The level of significance was set with α=0.05. The statistical program SPSS (version 16.0, SPSS Inc.) was used for the statistical analysis.

Results

The study included demographic and laboratory findings of the whole group, which are presented in Table 1. Table 2 shows the prescribed antihypertensive therapy taken by the patients at the time when they were included in the study.

All participants were from 20–60 years old. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure val-
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had a significantly lower level of TL4 expression ($P=0.001$). Laboratory values of complete blood and urine checks were within normal ranges in all participants. Total cholesterol was higher in the non-controlled hypertensive group, whereas high density lipoprotein values were higher in the controlled hypertensive group. The duration of hypertension, body mass index, and number of smokers were not significantly different between groups. Notably, the group of non-controlled hypertensive patients had significantly higher TLR4 expression: 25.60 (IQR 20.6 – 30.1) vs. 21.99 (18.9 – 25.01), Mann Whitney U test, $P=0.011$ (Figure 1). We found no significant difference in IL-17A concentration between the two patients’ group. Furthermore, we found no correlation between IL17 level and TLR 4 expression. As shown in Figure 2, patients who were treated with beta blockers had a significantly lower level of TL4 expression ($P=0.001$). In the group of patients with controlled hypertension, the expression of TLR4 was significantly lower in those who were on beta blockers compared to those who were not: 18.9 (IQR 18.1–20.1) vs. 22.6 (IQR 20.2–25.9), ($P= 0.005$). A difference in TL4 expression was also noted in the group of patients with non-controlled hypertension between those who took beta blockers and those who did not: 20.5 (IQR 18–27.4) in comparison to 25.9 (IQR 21.1–30.5), but this was not significant. Serum concentrations of IL-17A were significantly higher in those treated with diuretics: whole group 1.41 pg/ml (IQR 0.93–1.9 pg/ml) vs. 2.01 pg/ml (IQR 1.5–2.4 pg/ml), Mann Whitney U test $P < 0.001$ (Fig 3); controlled, and 1.3 pg/ml (IQR 0.8–1.8 pg/ml) vs. 1.8 pg/ml (IQR 1.4–2.3 pg/ml), Mann Whitney U test $P = 0.023$; non-controlled patients, 1.6 pg/ml (IQR 1.2–2 pg/ml) vs. 2.3 pg/ml (1.9–2.6 pg/ml), Mann Whitney U test $P = 0.001$. None of the participants included in the study received spironolactone as a diuretic. No differences in TLR4 expression or IL-17A serum level were observed considering other antihypertensive drugs classes. In the group of the patients with non-controlled hypertension, there was a significant correlation between the level of IL-17A and hypertension duration (Spearman

Table 1 Demographic and laboratory data of enrolled patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Non-controlled Hypertensives (N = 52)</th>
<th>Controlled Hypertensives (N = 53)</th>
<th>$P$ Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male [N(%)]</td>
<td>26 (50)</td>
<td>16 (30.2)</td>
<td>0.047*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female [N(%)]</td>
<td>26 (50)</td>
<td>37 (69.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, [years]</td>
<td>51 (42.58)</td>
<td>45 (40.5–51)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers [N(%)]</td>
<td>11 (21.2)</td>
<td>15 (28.3)</td>
<td>0.499*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m² [Median, IQR]</td>
<td>29 (24.0–33.6)</td>
<td>28.9 (25.4–31.6)</td>
<td>0.544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose, mmol/L [Median, IQR]</td>
<td>5.1 (4.8–5.7)</td>
<td>5.1 (4.8–5.5)</td>
<td>0.560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine, smol/L, Median, IQR</td>
<td>77 (66–81.5)</td>
<td>66 (60–74)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR ml/min/1.73 m² [Median, IQR]</td>
<td>96 (86.5–106.5)</td>
<td>103 (94–111)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol, mmol/L [Median, IQR]</td>
<td>5.8 (5–6.6)</td>
<td>5.2 (4.5–5.92)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides, mmol/L [Median, IQR]</td>
<td>1.7 (1.3–2.5)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.95–2.09)</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (low-density lipoprotein) cholesterol, mmol/L [Median, IQR]</td>
<td>3.8 (3.0–4.34)</td>
<td>3.5 (2.86–3.9)</td>
<td>0.713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (high-density lipoprotein) cholesterol, mmol/L [Median, IQR]</td>
<td>1.29 (1.08–1.44)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.1–1.4)</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg [Median, IQR]</td>
<td>155.5 (148–165)</td>
<td>125 (120–131.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg [Median, IQR]</td>
<td>100 (91–109.7)</td>
<td>84 (79–90)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of hypertension, years [Median, IQR]</td>
<td>1 (0.16–7)</td>
<td>2 (1–5)</td>
<td>0.163</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mann Whitney U test; f Fisher’s exact test; i interquartile range

Table 2. Prescribed antihypertensive therapy taken by the patients at the time when they were included in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapy</th>
<th>Regulated AH</th>
<th>Unregulated Non-controlled AH</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>$P$*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inhibitors of ACE</td>
<td>38 (71.7)</td>
<td>29 (55.8)</td>
<td>67 (63.8)</td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiazide Diuretics</td>
<td>20 (37.7)</td>
<td>12 (23.1)</td>
<td>32 (30.5)</td>
<td>0.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium channel blockers</td>
<td>29 (54.7)</td>
<td>29 (55.8)</td>
<td>58 (55.2)</td>
<td>&gt;0.950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta blockers</td>
<td>14 (26.4)</td>
<td>7 (13.5)</td>
<td>21 (20)</td>
<td>0.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATR1 blockers</td>
<td>4 (7.5)</td>
<td>6 (11.5)</td>
<td>10 (9.5)</td>
<td>0.526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central anti-adrenergic (moxonidine)</td>
<td>3 (5.7)</td>
<td>7 (13.5)</td>
<td>10 (9.5)</td>
<td>0.201</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Fisher’s Exact test
Coeff. Corr. $\rho = 0.566$, $P < 0.001$, Figure 4.) and in the group with controlled hypertension, there was a significant correlation between TLR4 expression and hypertension duration (Spearman Coeff. Corr. $\rho = 0.322$, $P = 0.020$, Figure 5.).

**Discussion**

Our study has shown that patients with non-controlled hypertension showed higher TLR4 expression on their peripheral monocytes in comparison to those with controlled hypertension. This might indicate that poor blood pressure control is related to the activated innate immunity which could contribute to tissue and organ damage and thus influence disease progression and prognosis. Importantly, we demonstrate for the first time that the duration of hypertension might have an immune-modulating effect. It would appear that although controlled, hypertension can still be a trigger for immune stimulation, as was demonstrated by the close correlation between the duration of controlled hypertension and higher TLR4 expression. On the other hand, the duration of non-controlled hypertension was closely linked to a higher level of IL-17A in serum which is in line with other studies [33, 34] that found association between IL-17A and blood pressure values. Indeed, higher levels of IL-17A might be related to activated innate immunity, could be influenced by the used class of antihypertensive drugs or, as suggested by some authors might have protective role. Hypertension is associated with increased inflammatory markers such as C-reactive protein, cytokines, chemokines, and adhesion molecules [46-48].

TLR activation via DAMPs is believed to link inflammation to hypertension, TLR4 being mostly in focus [15-18, 49]. Anti-TLR4 antibody treatment reduces blood pressure and inflammation in spontaneously hypertensive rats [16], and significantly higher TLR4 mRNA levels are found in peripheral monocytes in non-diabetic hypertensive patients [21]. Our results showing higher TLR4 expression in patients with non-controlled vs. controlled hypertension are consistent with these studies. In addition, the correlation between the duration of controlled hypertension and peripheral monocytes TLR4 expression suggests that hypertension, even when controlled might be a permanent, hidden cause of unspecific inflammatory response. When innate immune system response is strong and of sufficient duration, it might activate the specific immune response, in particular involving Th17 class of T-helper lymphocytes, the primary source of IL-17 [25, 26]. IL-17, via NF-kB, stimulates pro-inflammatory genes, enhancing the production of various proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines [50, 51]. IL-17A in particular plays important roles in various chronic inflammation conditions, autoimmune diseases [1, 29-31], and in acute coronary syndrome.
It has been shown that this cytokine maintains hypertension and contributes to vascular dysfunction [24]. Higher IL-17A levels are associated with hypertension in patients with diabetes [24] and IL-17 has been closely linked with endothelial dysfunction, hypertension, end stage organ damage, and atherosclerotic conditions [32, 33, 48] exhibiting both pro-inflammatory and protective effect [37]. It was recently reported that shortage of IL-17/IL-23 leads to increased albuminuria and kidney damage in patients with hypertension [52].

Our results suggest that the correlation between non-controlled, prolonged hypertension and IL-17A levels might mean that prolonged non-controlled arterial hypertension and its consequences are identified by immune system as a strong threat, triggering the whole immune cascade until achieving a certain level of immunity. The lack of significant difference in the IL-17A levels between the studied groups suggests that the activation of specific immunity might be triggered not only by the hypertension itself, but that the duration of non-controlled hypertension might be considered as an important factor in the activation of specific immunity.

Serum concentrations of IL-17A in our study were low in all patients, which is similar to some other studies [33]. Besides that, it is known that cytokines have short serum half-life and very often undetectable baseline levels. Additional challenges might be caused by analytical, methodological, and study design-related variables.

The choice of anti-hypertension therapy could also modulate immune system and its response. The data regarding beta blocker intake and peripheral monocyte TLR4 expression and that of diuretic use and IL-17A concentration with respect to human arterial hypertension have not, to the best of our knowledge, been previously reported in the literature. The patients who were treated with beta blockers exhibited lower TLR4 expression that was more evident in the group with controlled hypertension, while patients taking diuretics had a higher concentration of serum IL-17A regardless of how well their hypertension was controlled.

The role of beta adrenergic receptor in inflammation was described in several studies [53, 54], and it was shown that beta receptor blockade is associated with lower levels of C reactive protein [55]. Specifically, lymph nodes and spleen are richly innervated with sympathetic nerves that terminate in T cell rich areas. Once activated, these immune cells migrate to the CNS causing a positive feedback that results in enhanced sympathetic activity and a pro-inflammatory effect in the peripheral areas [41, 42]. In addition angiotensin II...
stimulates the immune response in the spleen through its enhanced CNS delivery [56]. On the other hand, TLR4 inhibition within the paraventricular nucleus was shown to lower blood pressure and reduce the inflammatory response [40].

Our results also suggested that the immune response changed in the patients who were prescribed thiazide diuretics to manage their hypertension, as evidenced by increased serum IL-17A associated with diuretic use, especially in patients with non-controlled hypertension. This was evident regardless of the other antihypertensive therapy taken along with thiazide diuretic. It is known that these diuretics cause sodium depletion that can lead to compensatory activation of the RAAS as well as the SNS; these counter-regulatory mechanisms promote vasoconstriction and sodium retention, which can reduce the effects of the diuretics [57]. Activated RAAS, primarily angiotensin II, has various proinflammatory effects including Th 17 differentiation [58] and promotion of IL 17 production [24]. There are also extensive data on the negative metabolic effects of diuretics; therefore, the activation of the immune response by diuretics when used in hypertension therapy might also occur via an unspecified metabolic mechanism. Therefore, the relevance and specificity of the observed IL-17A increase should be further investigated. No significant differences were observed in TLR4 expression or IL-17A levels in the patients who received renin-angiotensin-aldosterone blockers (ACE inhibitors and AT1 receptor blockers) as part of their hypertension therapy. These drugs are known to have anti-inflammatory effects [59, 60]. Nevertheless, similar data exist regarding some other anti-hypertensive drugs exhibiting theirs anti-inflammatory effects [55]. Blockade of angiotensin II type 1 receptors significantly decrease the levels of VCAM-1 and TNFα, but after 24 weeks of therapy, the values were found to return to the same level as those in the control group [61]. However, considering the obtained expression results and the relatively small sample numbers in our study, the lack of significant differences in TLR4 an IL17 in the patients taking ACE and AT1 receptor blockers is not unexpected.

There were several limitations to our study. First, the number of participants was not so large. Second, some of the exclusion criteria, such as chronic inflammatory diseases, were self-reported. If the participants however had some of those diseases, it would have affected our results. Third, it is known that IL17 has relatively short half-life in serum. Although every single blood sample was immediately processed in the laboratory, we could still speculate that the results regarding IL17 might be to some extent underestimated. One more limitation might be the fact that most of the patients were taking multiple antihypertensive therapy. It would had been better if most of the participants were on monotherapy, but generally such hypertensive patients are rare. However, given these limitations, we believe that our study has generated interesting and clinically relevant results.

**Conclusions**

In summary, our results indicate that arterial hypertension modulates the immune response, even when blood pressure is considered to be well regulated. The choice of antihypertensive therapy also has an immunomodulatory effects. Our findings are linking
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TLR4 expression and IL-17A level with diuretics, beta blockers, and the duration of hypertension providing a basis for further studies to better understand the immune-related effects of chronic human hypertension.
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